



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,566	01/19/2001	Earl M. Rector JR.	UV-31CONT.	2141
1473 7	590 03/26/2003			
FISH & NEAVE			EXAMINER	
1251 AVENUI 50TH FLOOR	E OF THE AMERICAS		KOSTAK, VICTOR R	/ICTOR R
NEW YORK, NY 10020-1105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	\mathcal{I}
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/776,566

Applicant(s)

Rector, Jr. et al.

Examiner

Victor R. Kostak

Art Unit **2611**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no mailing date of this communication. 	event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Mar 10, 2</u>	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action	on is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	
Disposition of Claims	
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 42-50</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considers
5)	is/are allowed.
6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 42-50</u>	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re a் accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawi	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is: a ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to the	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have I	peen received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have l	peen received in Application No
Copies of the certified copies of the priority doci application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional	
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	lority under 35 U.S.C. 99 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/766,566

Art Unit: 2611

- 1. In view of applicant's arguments and based a review of the rejection regarding the Davis reference, the examiner has withdrawn that rejection. A new rejection based on different art has now been applied, presented below. The Office regrets prolonging prosecution.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 7-12, 16-18, 42-44 and 48-50 are now rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hendricks et al.

The program distribution system and method of Hendricks (noting particularly Figs. 1, 3, 4 and 6-9) includes an operations center 202 (Fig. 1), plural operator computing stations 262 (Fig. 4), and a database of program data 268 (still Fig. 4). The CPU-assisted processing (264 of Fig. 7) features editing of menus (i.e. program guides) on-the-fly (col. 22 lines 62-64), which includes checking for conflicts using software and which prompts the operator to enter valid data (col. 23 lines 17-23; Figs. 8 and 9), thereby meeting claims 1, 10 and 42.

As for claims 2, 11 and 43, Hendricks includes user interfacing with the work stations, including graphics (col. 15 lines 6-19).

As for claims 3, 12 and 44, program errors are checked, as noted above.

Regarding claims 7, 16 and 48, the database is part of the main facility (i.e. the operation center 202).

As for claims 8, 17 and 49, the overall communication operation involves plural distributors (noting Fig. 2, for example).

Considering claims 9, 18 and 50, program menus are presented to the database.

Application/Control Number: 09/766,566 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

3. Claims 4-6, 13-15 and 45-47 are now rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendricks et al.

As pointed out above, Hendricks edits schedule data on-the-fly using software, during construction and prior to distribution. Although he mentions editing and checking for conflicts in general terms, one of ordinary skill in the art would have readily considered those variable parameters making up schedule menus which can be subject to error, such as start and end times of programming, which when inaccurate can result in overlapping or gapping (Hendricks does in fact list various programming items in Tables A and B), thereby meeting claims 4, 6, 13, 15, 45 and 47.

Regarding claims 5, 14 and 46, it would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to ensure that other schedule data capable of being erroneously displayed is prevented, such as duplication of listings (resulting from multiple providers or plural personnel working on schedule construction), since a primary concern of the headend operation is to present the final product without any flaws.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/766,566

Art Unit: 2611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor R. Kostak whose telephone number is (703)-305-4374. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew I. Faile, can be reached on (703) 305-4380. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone (703) 306-0377.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (For either formal or informal communications intended for entry. For informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Application/Control Number: 09/766,566

Art Unit: 2611

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Victor R. Kostak

Primary Examiner

hinis

VRK

3/21/03